

# Flux Particle Theory

by James Cranwell

<http://www.mccelt.com/>

**Everything in the Universe is made from one type of thread.  
All workings of the Universe are result from said thread.**

## WHY THE SPEED OF LIGHT IS "C"

~~~~~

### SPACE-TIME IS A MEDIUM

~~~~~

The reason light always travels at "c" the speed of light is: there is a medium filling space.

Michelson-Morley created an experiment to detect if the Earth is rushing through the medium. The experiment was null - so the Earth is NOT rushing though it.

Then, just recently, they created Gravity Probe B - to detect if the medium is being dragged - and they found out... yes, it is being dragged!

Einstein called the medium "Space-Time."

It is responsible for gravity, the conveyance of light and a few other things.

That's why the speed of light, gravity and the speed of gravitational waves are the same speed - the speed of light.

- Light travels at speed of light c
- Gravitational waves travel at c

Notice exact same speed.

Must have something in common.

- Gravitational waves travel in space time.
- Light is a massless particle or wave or both at the same time (they are not sure) and travels in nothing?

No, they goofed. Think about for a month.

- Space-time is a lattice-type quantum thread network in space.
- Empty space is completely empty / null / void. There is a big difference.

Space-time must be made out of something.


Space-time is NOT empty space.

You can easily fold up, distort and curve Space-time, but you are NOT going to do anything to the empty space it resides in. (actually, curving space-time would be doable but folding-up space-time would NOT be so easy -- think of air or water, etc.)

To sum it up: What Einstein calls "Space-time" is a lattice-type quantum thread network in otherwise empty space (**not the string theory type**).

The quantum thread network is made from individual yet connected threads completely filling space. The network is NOT fixed in space, it moves-along-with / is-held-in-place-by the largest mass in proximity.

It's something like the way gravity works (it's actually responsible for gravity), relative strength due to size and proximity.



The thread group itself would be just the grey threads (or strings) in the picture (no color and a lot thinner of course). It would fit perfectly inside of a [dodecahedron](#). Actual thread (or string) length is about one Ångström and it is fine enough where 10 threads (20 radii) could curl-up into the size of a neutron.

It's all made from the same quantum threads.

Part of the quantum thread network is surrounding and moving with you.

You are completely immersed in the Earth's quantum thread network.

The Earth quantum thread network moves with the Earth and is inside of the Sun's quantum thread network.

The Sun's quantum thread network encompasses the entire solar system (plus more) and moves with the Sun.

A Galaxy of course has a quantum thread network and it moves with the Galaxy (as a whole and with the movement of individual stars and systems).

~~~~~

## DIMENSIONS AND UNITS

~~~~~

.....mass = [M] = kilograms

.....length = [L] = meters

.....time = [T] = seconds

...frequency = [T<sup>-1</sup>] = seconds<sup>-1</sup>

.....speed = [L]/[T] ..... = m/s

acceleration = [L]/[T<sup>2</sup>] .... = m/s<sup>2</sup>

....momentum = [M] [L]/[T] ... = kg\_m/s

.....force = [M] [L]/[T<sup>2</sup>] . = kg\_m/s<sup>2</sup>

.....energy = [M] [L<sup>2</sup>]/[T<sup>2</sup>] = kg\_m<sup>2</sup>/s<sup>2</sup>

.....power = [M] [L<sup>2</sup>]/[T<sup>3</sup>] = kg\_m<sup>2</sup>/s<sup>3</sup>

Tension is a Force. Gravity is a Force. A Newton is a Unit of Force.

Velocity is a vector but the dimensions are the same as speed = [L]/[T]

The words like "speed" are only names of what the dimensions on the right are called.

You cannot have anything like pure length, force nor energy. (Pure mass? Yes, that is OK?)

Notice mass [M] is not equal to energy [M] [L<sup>2</sup>] / [T<sup>2</sup>] ...the vibration is missing

Here is what Einstein's famous equation really looks like...

$$[M] [L^2] / [T^2] = [M] [L^2] / [T^2]$$

Energy already is a mass times speed<sup>2</sup>.

If you could just lop-off parts of an equation and claim whatever is left is equal... i.e. "energy equals mass" then you could also say that "power equals mass" and so does momentum and force. It is really stupid to think like that.

Speed is NOT equal to length. Speed is equal to length divided by time.

Energy is NOT equal to mass. Energy is equal to mass times speed squared.

READ THIS CAREFULLY: Energy is just a word for vibrating mass (or substance). It's like "RED" -- you can have a beautiful redheaded girlfriend, a red ball or a red firetruck -- but you cannot have just "red." Could there be a blob of "red" floating around in outer space? NO! it would have to be made from something.

RED is a word to describe a property of something else.

ENERGY is a word to describe a property of something else.

The term "Pure Energy" came from Spock on Star Trek. That is SciFi.

~~~~~  
**CONVERT MASS INTO PURE ENERGY?**  
 ~~~~~

No, here is a simple analogy...

If you have a tennis net (quantum thread network) and use a pair of scissors and snip one thread in the middle of the net... vibrations (energy) will travel through the net (the tennis net of course has tension on it).

The same thing would happen if a few threads of the net were balled-up (pulled together in a clump) then suddenly unballled (decayed). It would send vibrations through the net..

The balled up piece of the net would be considered mass and when it unballs it reverts back to normal net (quantum thread network) and releases energy (vibrations) into the net.

There is NO pure energy

There is an all encompassing quantum thread network (**not the string theory type**) in space (and everywhere).

The quantum thread network is made from individual yet connected threads and conforms to whatever shape it is surrounding. So light traveling through a curved quantum thread network (like the Earth or Sun) will of course curve.

Is gravity curving the quantum thread network? No! The quantum thread network itself is what creates gravity (gravity is network tension).

Does this invalidate any of Einsteins equations? Of course not, it is just another way to look at it. Einstein has field equations and this is the field (quantum thread network).

The threads are connected -- that creates a quantum thread network. The quantum thread network has tension on it so vibrations can easily travel through it on the threads.

That's what light is...

~~~~~  
**WHY THE SPEED OF LIGHT IS "C"**  
 ~~~~~

There is a high tension quantum thread network in space (**not the string theory type**). Everything is connected by the quantum thread network and it moves along with largest mass in proximity (something like what gravitational fields would be doing).

A good 2-D model would be something like a spiders web (individual thread lengths are approximately one Ångström).

Now imagine an infinite 3-D spiders web. If a vibration was set off in it, it would travel forever and the speed the vibrations travel (through the net) is the speed of light (that's actually what light is, a vibration traveling through a quantum thread network)

The speed vibrations travel through the quantum thread network is the speed of light "c"

The network threads have a certain amount of tension, length and mass. That makes 'c' the speed it is. If the tension, length or mass changed so would 'c'

Here is a regular thread tension formula...

**Tension = velocity squared x mass / Length.**

If we plug c in and rearrange we get...

$$TL = mc^2$$

Both sides of the equation are in joules or energy... equivalent to "E".

It means the Tension of the threads in space times their length is equal to their energy.

This is why the speed of light is involved in Einsteins mass energy equivalence equation...

$$E = mc^2$$

...and actually why light travels at the speed of light...

I always wondered why... now I know.

It had to be something mechanical... tension and thread lengths!

So, you can arrive at Einsteins famous formula from completely different directions.

You can think energy is contained in mass and released.

$$E = mc^2$$

Or you can think there is a quantum thread network of threads and mass is inert, the energy is only potential... released (actually pulled) by tension on the threads.

$$TL = mc^2$$

They are equivalent. Which is correct? You do not know.

Tesla was correct...

*"There is no energy in matter other than that received from the environment."* - Nikola Tesla

Mnemonic memory device...

E for Einstein:  $E = mc^2$

TL for Tesla:  $TL = mc^2$

~~~~~  
**COSMIC LENSING**  
 ~~~~~

Cosmic lensing happens because there is an all encompassing lattice-type thread network in space. The network is gravitationally centered - physically curved around mass or galaxies as a whole, etc.

If something is bending or curving or warping or gravitationally microlensing light - it means light is a physical part of it.

Waves can only travel in a medium - that includes light.

PG says cosmological red-shift can stretch Space-Time and thereby stretch photons in transit. If that is correct it would mean photons are traveling in space-time - as a part of it - and space-time is a medium. Something can only affect something else if it is in direct contact or it is a part of it.

The spiders web and moth are a good example. A moth can only be pulled apart by a stretching web if it is stuck in the web.

If a moth is doing a fly-by the web has no effect on it.



The particle network is responsible for the conveyance of light, electromagnetism, gravity, dark energy, mass and everything else.

Everything is made from the same thing, the thread particles.

The particles and the networks they create are all there is, it is everything.

I wonder what's going on?

Everyone believes that MM experiment without question and they pass the information on through generation after generation. It is actually bringing modern physics to a standstill.

Answer this simple question...

## Stationary Ether?

If you were going to test if there is a medium for the conveyance of light, would you...

- A) Test if the Earth is rushing through the medium.
- 2) Test if the Earth is NOT rushing through the medium.
- C3) Both of the above (same as: just test for medium, no constraints)

Here is your chance to agree with those great men and pick "A", everything you think you know is based on that.

NOTE: The correct answer is of course "C3" but modern physics is based on Michelson-Morley experiment and they picked "A"

Michelson-Morley picked "A" and everything you think you know is based on that. (it's actually a pillar of modern science)

The only problem is if "2" is happening they are completely in the dark about it.

NOTE: Newton thought there was a medium for the conveyance of light. He called it the finest Ether. He also thought it was responsible for gravity and a few other things. That is absolutely correct.

If you were going to test for gravity would you test if the Earth is rushing through gravity? Yes! if you are an imbecile!

Tesla was also correct...

"There is no energy in matter other than that received from the environment." – Nikola Tesla  
That is absolutely correct.

Einstein also thought there was an Ether / medium (but he renamed it Space-Time to keep everyone happy).

<http://www.tuhh.de/rzt/rzt/it/Ether.html>

If you were going to test for Space-Time would you test if the Earth is rushing through Space-Time? No! You would do an experiment like GP-B and test if Space-Time is being dragged -- that is absolutely correct.

And now, thanks to LIGO we know Space-Time can be stretched -- that means Space-Time must be something.

~~~~~

## PARTICLE FIELD PRIMER I

~~~~~

If you test for a conveyance of light [MEDIUM] you test whether or not there is one.  
YES or NO.

Michelson-Morley also added an erroneous constraint "if the Earth is rushing through the medium."  
That changed it into 3 possibilities...

- 5) There is a medium and the Earth is rushing through it.
- 6) There is a medium and the Earth is NOT rushing through it.
- 7) There is No medium

The only thing they proved is the Earth is NOT rushing through a medium.  
GOT THAT?

They absolutely did NOT disprove a medium

Then everyone completely loses all sensibility and accepts the experiment as valid.

That means (they think) light does not have a particle network it travels in and since it cannot be just a pure vibration or energy (since there are no such things) they have to invent a mass-less particle. That is compounding the mistake and it is 2 levels deep at the moment.

But everyone knows matter does have mass (some kind of substance).

But this supposed massless particle does not. So, to explain it they come up with an Higgs field that is completely filling space (in the same way an ether would) and that is what is giving mass only to certain particles. Now the mistake is 3 levels deep.

NOTE: The Higgs field would actually be a particle field. They think they found the Higgs by smashing protons together and getting the mass-energy?

That is guess work. I have something that weighs 2 grams, what is it?

The funny thing is they think photons are massless particles.

Think about how many there would be.

Space would be almost solid with massless particles all zipping around in every possible direction at the speed of light. That would mean space is actually filled with particles. And space is also filled with the Higgs particles.

So, what happened is they thought they eliminated the one particle field that explained how light travels (the ether, medium) and now to explain light they need at least 2 particle fields.

---

### References

[3] Flux Particle Theory & Why the Speed of Light is "C"

<http://vixra.org/abs/1510.0103>

Authors: [Seamus McCelt](#)

Category: [Quantum Gravity and String Theory](#)